

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,354	10/20/2003	Hisao Okaniwa	F-8001	4211
28107	7590 04/10/2006		EXAM	INER
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10168			3724	•
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/10/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing da earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Febr	S SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the second ABANDONED	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing da earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 Febrer 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Explosionation of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 and 14-25 is/are	S SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S E OF THIS COMMUNICATION a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from thuse the application to become ABANDONED	3724 orrespondence address S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing da earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Febr 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This act 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 and 14-25 is/are	S SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from thuse the application to become ABANDONED	orrespondence address S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing da earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Febr 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This act 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 and 14-25 is/are	S SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from thuse the application to become ABANDONED	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing da earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Febre 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This act 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Exploisionsition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 and 14-25 is/are	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
5/ <u>—</u> 15/4/6 4.16/464.	ction is non-final. e except for formal matters, pro parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or example care.	election requirement.	
<u> </u>		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. 	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign principle. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents h 2. Certified copies of the priority documents h 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (F * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second contents of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (F)	nave been received. nave been received in Application documents have been received PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 7-05)		(PTO-413) te

Art Unit: 3724

1. Claims 10-12 and 14-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 28 March 06.

- 2. Figures 12-14 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. Claims 1 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:On line 2 of claim 1, an "a" is needed before "cutter".On line 2 of claim 13, "both bristles" lacks proper antecedent basis.Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claims 2,4,6,9 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3724

In claim 2, the phrase "the cutting edge of said cutter is disposed opposite the bristles" is of unclear scope and meaning. What constitutes "opposite" in this situation? How could one cutting edge be opposite plural bristles?

In claim 4, the phrase "the cutting edge of said cutter is disposed so as to face the adjacent bristles. A cutting edge is a one-dimensional line, and has no face. Thus it is not clear what would or would not be "facing" the cutting edge.

In claim 6, the phrase "the height of the cutting edge of said cutter is roughly equal to the height of the bristles". This contradicts the previous claim and the specification and the drawings, which clearly show the cutting edge is shorter than the bristles. Accordingly, it is not clear what scope should be given to this incongruent language.

In claim 9, the cover has an "approximately U-shaped cross section". Given the complex shape of the guard 18b as seen in figure 2, the use of the term "U-shaped cross section" is an over-simplification that makes the claim of unclear scope.

Furthermore, it is not clear at what angle or level this cross section is being taken.

In claim 13, the phrase "both bristles serving as holding members for the cutters, on which the cutters are mounted" is not understood. How is it that the cutters are mounted on both bristles. Furthermore, only one cutter was previously recited.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3724

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the admitted prior art.

On page 2 of Applicant's specification, and in Applicant's figures 12-14, Applicant shows a piece of admitted prior art based on a Japanese Publication S51-9966.

This admitted prior art shows all of the recited features as follows;

In regards to claims 1 and 3, the cutter (76) is "upright" as opposed to laying down.

In regards to claims 2,4 and 13, as not understood, the cutting edges "oppose" or "face" the bristles to an extent similar to Applicant's.

In regards to claims 5 and 6, as not understood, the blades are shorter than the bristles, but roughly equal.

In regards to claim 7, some of the bristles diverge from the cutting edges as seen in figure 13.

In regards to claim 8, the bristles appear to be circular.

- 7. Claims 1-5,7,8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laughlin. See figure 5, blade 22 with cutting edges 24. This tool is capable of being employed to brush hair (be careful!).
- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3724

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable.

The admitted prior art, as employed above, lacks a U-shaped cover over the cutting edges. Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known to employ U-shaped guards over similar cutting edges. Examples of this are the patents to Halaby, Michelson and Bresler. Additional examples can be provided if needed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided a U-shaped guard on the admitted prior art, as is well known and taught by Halaby, Michelson and Bresler, in order to limit the depth of cutting and prevent injury.

- 10. Made of record but not relied on is a patent to Talavera showing a pertinent brush.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached Mon-Thurs, 7:30AM-5PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KP

March 23, 2006

... NNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Nes